

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CURTIS and CHARLOTTE WESTLEY,
individually and on behalf of others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OCLARO, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 11-cv-02448 EMC (NC)
and related consolidated action
(Lead Case No. 11-cv-03176 EMC
(NC))
(Derivative Action)

ORDER RE: DISCOVERY LETTER

Re: Dkt. Nos. 140, 142

IN RE OCLARO, INC. DERIVATIVE
LITIGATION,

Lead Case No. 11-cv-03176 EMC (NC)
(Related cases:
11-cv-03214 EMC (NC)
11-cv-03322 EMC (NC)
11-cv-03668 EMC (NC))
(Derivative Action)

This Document Relates to:

Westley v. Oclaro, Inc., et al.,
11-cv-02448-EMC (NC)

1 After considering plaintiffs' discovery letter seeking an order requiring defendants to
2 identify Rule 30(b)(6) deposition designees, Dkt. No. 140, and defendants' opposition, Dkt.
3 No. 142, the Court hereby denies plaintiffs' request. The Court notes that it expects counsel
4 to work out cooperatively such issues to avoid unnecessary court intervention.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Date: April 11, 2013


7 Nathanael M. Cousins
8 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28